



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*fu*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,586	11/16/2001	Jason F. Hunzinger	440402000300	8265

25224 7590 02/08/2006  
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP  
555 WEST FIFTH STREET  
SUITE 3500  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

PEACHES, RANDY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2686

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. ***Claims 1, 28, 92 and 97*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rappaport et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,477,373 B1)(hereinafter referenced as Rappaport).

Regarding ***claims 1, 28, 92 and 97***, Rappaport discloses a system and method comprising a network and one or more mobile stations (MSs) for enabling communications with the one or more MSs and for rescuing at least one MS having a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection, a method for rescuing the potentially failing connection, comprising (see column 8 lines 34-60):

- identifying the potentially failing connection; determining current rescue limitations for the potentially failing connection. See column 8 lines 47-52;
- allowing a rescue attempt of the potentially failing connection if a specified time period has elapsed since the last successful rescue of the connection. See column 8 lines 52-60; and

Art Unit: 2686

- preventing the attempted rescue of the potentially failing connection if a specified time period has not elapsed since the last successful rescue of the connection..  
The Examiner maintains that it is inherent that one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that a retry system will prevent a retry if it is determined that the time since the last retry has not elapsed.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

**Claim 93-96** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

④ Specifically, the prior art the Examiner has relied upon, fails to render support that the information regarding the time to perform rescue, is sent via the network to the mobile.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1, 28, 92-97** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding **claims 1, 28, 92 and 97**, the Examiner maintains that, although the Applicant's claim invention states that either a retry attempt will be performed or prevented based on the elapsed time between the attempts, Rappaport clearly discloses that a time, i.e. random time, is determined so that the system would know when to attempt or not attempt a rescue.

Art Unit: 2686

Therefore, based on the above comment and the Office Action, claims 1,28, 92 and 97 stand rejected.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (571) 272-7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax

Art Unit: 2686

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy Peaches  
February 2, 2006



CHARLES APPIAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER